

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/538,805	0	3/30/2000	Jay S. Walker	99-077	99-077 7826	
22927	7590	02/25/2005		EXAMINER		
WALKER		•	CUFF, MI	CUFF, MICHAEL A		
FIVE HIGH RIDGE PARK STAMFORD, CT 06905				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	.,		•	3627		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			N				
., .		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments		09/538,805	WALKER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit \(\frac{1}{2}\)				
		Michael Cuff	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE   - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re- period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- eply received by the Office later than three months after the maili- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frow the cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	December 2004.					
3)	,—						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>Claim(s) 1-56,69,70 and 75 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>Claim(s) 1-56,69,70 and 75 is/are rejected.</li> <li>Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures  see the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in Application on the documents have been received in the control of the contr	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail  5) Notice of Informa  6) Other:	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-17, 22-24, 27-29, 32-39, 41-56, 69 and 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by www.columbiahouse.com.

www.columbiahouse.com, dated 12/21/1996, shows an online catalogue (retailer, visit retailer online). There are several deals or subscriptions offered, which have been determined by a controller. The customer is required to make a plurality of purchases (example, 6 videos over three years for \$19.95 each). Each purchase occurs during the visit to the retailer. The purchases are tracked for compliance. From the information available, a penalty or payment for videos not ordered is inherent. The 10 day cancellation policy reads on receiving a second or modified proposal from the customer. No deal or subscription is the established terms based on both proposals.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/538,805

Art Unit: 3627

Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over bestbuy.com and physical stores in view of <a href="https://www.columbiahouse.com">www.columbiahouse.com</a>.

Bestbuy.com shows all of the limitations of the claims except for specifying the use a subscription plan.

Bestbuy.com shows an Internet retail location and many physical store locations.

www.columbiahouse.com teaches, an online catalogue (retailer, visit retailer online). There are several deals or subscriptions offered, which have been determined by a controller in order to provide an incentive to get a commitment to buy products over a period of time. The customer is required to make a plurality of purchases (example, 6 videos over three years for \$19.95 each). Each purchase occurs during the visit to the retailer. The purchases are tracked for compliance. From the information available, a penalty or payment for videos not ordered is inherent. The 10 day cancellation policy reads on receiving a second or modified proposal from the customer. No deal or subscription is the established terms based on both proposals.

Based on the teaching of www.columbiahouse.com, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the bestbuy,com and physical stores to incorporate the subscription plan of columbiahouse.com in order to provide an incentive to get a commitment to buy products over a period of time.

Claims 25, 26, 30, 31, 40 and 75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <a href="https://www.columbiahouse.com">www.columbiahouse.com</a>.

<u>www.columbiahouse.com</u> shows all of the limitations of the claims except for specifying the use different arrangements of penalties and specific stores.

The examiner takes Official Notice that these minor payment variations and that supermarkets sell videos is old and well known in the art in order to maximize revenue.

Based on the discussion above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the <a href="https://www.columbiahouse.com">www.columbiahouse.com</a> system to incorporate the use different arrangements of penalties and specific stores in the art in order to maximize revenue.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cuff whose telephone number is (703) 308-0610 or, after 4/13/05, (571)272-6778. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Cuff

February 22, 2005

laff 2/22/05